- A containment hierarchy of classes of formal grammars
  - We've seen formal grammars used to describe the class of context-free languages
  - It turns out formal grammars can be used to describe other classes of languages we've discussed (as well as one we haven't)

Grammar	Languages	Automaton	Production Rules
Туре 0	Turing Recognizable	ТМ	$\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ No restrictions except $\alpha$ contains at least one variable
Type 1	Context–Sensitive	LBA (linear bounded automaton)	αAβ→αγβ α,γ,β all strings, γ must be non-empty, A is a variable
Type 2	Context-Free	PDA	$A \rightarrow \gamma$ $\gamma$ is a non-empty string, A is a variable
Туре 3	Regular	DFA	$A \rightarrow \alpha, A \rightarrow \alpha B$ $\alpha$ is a terminal, A,B are variables



- Type 3: Regular Languages
  - Production Rules:
    - A→α
    - A→αB
    - $\alpha$  is a terminal
    - A, B are variables
  - E.g. a\*bc\*
    - S  $\rightarrow$  aS | bT | b | cU
    - T  $\rightarrow$  cT | c | aU | bU
    - U  $\rightarrow$  aU | bU | cU

Starting rule straight to  $\varepsilon$  is allowed to generate empty string

Draw the DFA and you'll see the 3 states (S,T,U), and all of the transitions correspond to grammar rules

- Type 2: Context-Free Languages
  - We've studied these.
  - They (in particular the subset of deterministic context-free languages) are the theoretical basis for phrase structure of most programming languages
  - Treat as if in normal form
    - (starting variable straight to  $\varepsilon$  is allowed for generating the empty string)

Type 1: Context-Sensitive Languages

- Production rules:
  - αΑβ→αγβ
  - A is a variable
  - Everything else is a string made up of variables and terminals
  - γ must be non-empty
    - This forces  $|\alpha A\beta| \leq |\alpha \gamma \beta|$ 
      - The derivation never shrinks in size
      - (starting variable straight to ε is allowed for generating the empty string)

- Type 1: Context-Sensitive Languages
  - Production rules:
    - αΑβ→αγβ
    - Ultimately we want to replace  $A \rightarrow \gamma$ , but we do it in the context of the surrounding symbols  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Thus we can have different rules for replacing A depending on the context.
    - These languages are recognized by a *linear bounded automaton.* 
      - A non-deterministic Turing machine whose tape is bounded by a constant factor times the length of the input

Type 0: Turing-recognizable Languages

- Production rules:
  - α→β
  - No restrictions except that  $\alpha$  contains at least one variable.
  - Other than that, they are just strings of variables and terminals.
  - Thus it's possible for a production rule to cause the overall derivation to shrink in size!
  - Decidable languages are not a specific member of the overall hierarchy. They would be between Type 0 and Type 1.