## **Regular Operations II**

### **Regular Operations**

- Some important operations over regular languages:
  - Union:
    - $A \cup B = \{ w \mid w \in A \text{ or } w \in B \}$
  - Concatenation:
    - $AB = \{ wx \mid w \in A \text{ and } x \in B \}$
  - Kleene Star

$$A^{*} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} A^{i} = A^{0} \cup A^{1} \cup A^{2} \cup A^{3} \cup A^{4} \dots$$

### Closure

- Regular Languages are closed under each of these operations:
  - Union
    - If A is regular and B is regular then  $A \cup B$  is regular.
  - Concatenation
    - If A is regular and B is regular then AB is regular.
  - Kleene Star
    - If A is regular, then A\* is regular.
  - We already showed for union.
    - Constructive Proof
      - We'll do the other 2 as well as union again using NFAs

#### From the Previous Lecture

- For every DFA, there is an NFA that accepts the same language
- For every NFA, there is a DFA that accepts the same language

DFAs, NFAs, are equivalent!

- The class of regular languages is closed under concatenation:
  - Need to show: If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are regular languages, then  $A_1A_2$  is regular
  - Since  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are regular, we know there are NFAs,  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  such that  $A_1 = L(N_1)$  and  $A_2 = L(N_2)$
  - We will build an NFA, N that will accept  $A_1A_2$
  - Since NFAs and DFAs are equivalent:
    - If we build an NFA that accepts, there is a DFA that accepts
    - Thus the concatenation language is regular.

Finally – we put NFAs to use!

Let

- We will build
  N = (Q, Σ, δ, q<sub>0</sub>, F)
- Such that
  L(N) = L(N<sub>1</sub>) L(N<sub>2</sub>) = A<sub>1</sub>A<sub>2</sub>

#### Basic idea

• Build N to start at the start state of  $N_1$  and from any accepting state of  $N_1$  move directly to the start state of  $N_2$  via an  $\epsilon$ -transition.



#### Basic idea

- Build N to start at the start state of N<sub>1</sub> and from any accepting state of N<sub>1</sub> move directly to the start state of N<sub>2</sub> via an ε-transition. (And stay where you are in the first machine!)
- After a first portion of the string is accepted by the 1<sup>st</sup> machine, an extra branch is created to test the remainder of the string on the 2<sup>nd</sup> machine
  - The set of final states for the new machine consists of final states from the second machine

Let's formalize this:

$$\label{eq:N1} \begin{array}{l} \circ \ N_1 \,=\, (Q_1,\, \Sigma,\, \delta_1,\, q_1,\, F_1) \\ \circ \ N_2 \,=\, (Q_2,\, \Sigma,\, \delta_2,\, q_2,\, F_2) \end{array} \end{array}$$

• N = (Q, 
$$\Sigma$$
,  $\delta$ ,  $q_0$ , F)  
• Q = Q<sub>1</sub>  $\cup$  Q<sub>2</sub>

• 
$$q_0 = q_1$$

• 
$$F = F_2$$

- Let's formalize this:
  Transition function δ :
  - $\delta(q, a) = \delta_1(q, a)$
  - $\delta(q, a) = \delta_1(q, a)$
  - $\delta(q, \epsilon) = \delta_1(q, \epsilon) \cup \{q_2\}$
  - $\delta(q, a) = \delta_2(q, a)$

for  $q \in Q_1 - F_1$ ,  $a \in \Sigma \epsilon$ for  $q \in F_1$  and  $a \in \Sigma$ for  $q \in F_1$ 

for  $q \in Q_2$ ,  $a \in \Sigma \varepsilon$ 

If we are in an accept state for  $N_1$  we define there to be an  $\varepsilon$ -transition to the start state of  $N_2$  in addition to wherever  $N_1 \varepsilon$ -transitions anyway.







- Group the machines together
- Add ε–transitions from final states of N<sub>1</sub> to the start state of N<sub>2</sub>
- Make the final states of N<sub>2</sub> be the only final states of N

- Let
  - $\circ \ N_1 \,=\, (Q_1,\, \Sigma,\, \delta_1,\, q_1,\, F_1)$
- We will build
  N = (Q, Σ, δ, q<sub>0</sub>, F)
- Such that
  L(N) = (L(N<sub>1</sub>))\*

- Basic idea
  - Create a new accepting start state
  - $\circ$  Go from new start state to original start state via an  $\varepsilon-transition$
  - Create ε-transitions from all final states back to original start state (to allow for repetition)



Why do we we need a new start state? Because we need to accomplish two things 1.Make sure the empty string is accepted 2.e-transition to the start after each final state is reached But if we choose to make the original start state accepting, we might inadvertently allow other strings to be accepted that we don't want (if/when the machine naturally transitions back to its starting state)

- Let's formalize this:
  N<sub>1</sub> = (Q<sub>1</sub>, Σ, δ<sub>1</sub>, q<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>1</sub>)
  - N = (Q,  $\Sigma$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $q_0$ , F) • Q = Q<sub>1</sub>  $\cup$  {q<sub>newstart</sub>}
    - $q_0 = q_{newstart}$
    - $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_1 \cup {\mathbf{q}_{newstart}}$

- Let's formalize this:
  Transition function δ :
  - $\delta(q, a) = \delta_1(q, a)$
  - $\delta(q, a) = \delta_1(q, a)$
  - $\delta(q, \epsilon) = \delta_1(q, \epsilon) \cup \{q_1\}$
  - $\delta(q_{newstart}, \epsilon) = \{q_1\}$
  - $\delta(q_{newstart}, a) = \emptyset$

These two just define the behavior for the new start state.

for  $q \in Q_1 - F_1$ ,  $a \in \Sigma \epsilon$ for  $q \in F_1$  and  $a \in \Sigma$ for  $q \in F_1$ 

#### for $a \in \Sigma$

Just like for concatenation, we define there to be an  $\varepsilon$ -transition to the start state of N<sub>1</sub> in addition to wherever N<sub>1</sub>  $\varepsilon$ -transitions anyway.

#### Union - an Alternative Approach

Let

- We will build
  N = (Q, Σ, δ, q<sub>0</sub>, F)
- Such that •  $L(N) = L(N_1) \cup L(N_2)$

#### Basic idea

 Using ε-transitions, create a "branch" where the machine can either follow one branch (representing N<sub>1</sub>) or the other branch (representing N<sub>2</sub>)



• Let's formalize this:

• 
$$N_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$$
  
•  $N_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$ 

• N = (Q, 
$$\Sigma$$
,  $\delta$ , q<sub>0</sub>, F)

• 
$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}_1 \cup \mathbf{Q}_2 \cup {\mathbf{q}_{newstart}}$$

• 
$$\mathbf{q}_0 = \mathbf{q}_{\text{newstart}}$$

• 
$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_1 \cup \mathbf{F}_2$$

#### Let's formalize this: • Transition function $\delta$ :

- $\delta(q, a) = \delta_1(q, a)$
- $\delta(q, a) = \delta_2(q, a)$
- $\delta(q_{\text{newstart}}, \epsilon) = \{q_1, q_2\}$
- $\delta(q_{newstart}, a) = \emptyset$

for  $q \in Q_1$ ,  $a \in \Sigma \varepsilon$ for  $q \in Q_2$ ,  $a \in \Sigma \epsilon$ 

This is the only for  $a \in \Sigma$  change. These two just define the behavior for the new start state.

The behavior of the states in the original machines stays the same.



- Let's try an example
  - Start with the languages {0} and {1}
  - Create an NFA for the language:
    - $({0}{0} \cup {1})^*$

First, build the language {0}{0}

- This is the language formed by concatenating the language {0} with itself
- NFA for the language {0}



• NFA for concatenation



# Next, take the union of {0}{0} and {1} ({0}{0} ∪ {1})



Finally, take the Kleene star operation
 ({0}{0} ∪ {1})\*



### Summary

- Constructive proof of the closure of regular languages under:
  - Union
  - Concatenation
  - Kleene Star