

Computations in Ramsey Theory

testing, constructions and nonexistence

Stanisław Radziszowski

Department of Computer Science
Rochester Institute of Technology, NY, USA

JMM'18, San Diego, CA
January 13, 2018




Ramsey Numbers


- ▶ $R(G, H) = n$ iff minimal n such that in any 2-coloring of the edges of K_n there is a monochromatic G in the first color or a monochromatic H in the second color.
- ▶ 2 – colorings \cong graphs, $R(m, n) = R(K_m, K_n)$
- ▶ Generalizes to k colors, $R(G_1, \dots, G_k)$
- ▶ Theorem (Ramsey 1930): Ramsey numbers exist



Unavoidable classics



$$R(3, 3) = 6$$



$$R(3, 5) = 14 \text{ [GRS'90]}$$

Asymptotics

diagonal cases

- ▶ **Bounds** (Erdős 1947, Spencer 1975; Conlon 2010)

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{e} 2^{n/2} n < R(n, n) < R(n+1, n+1) \leq \binom{2n}{n} n^{-c \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}}$$

- ▶ **Conjecture** (Erdős 1947, \$100)

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(n, n)^{1/n}$ exists.

If it exists, it is between $\sqrt{2}$ and 4 (\$250 for value).



Asymptotics

Ramsey numbers avoiding K_3

- ▶ Kim 1995, lower bound
Ajtai-Komlós-Szemerédi 1980, upper bound

$$R(3, n) = \Theta \left(\frac{n^2}{\log n} \right)$$

- ▶ Bohman/Keevash 2009/2013, triangle-free process
- ▶ Fiz Pontiveros-Griffiths-Morris, lower bound, 2013
Shearer, upper bound, 1983

$$\left(\frac{1}{4} + o(1) \right) n^2 / \log n \leq R(3, n) \leq (1 + o(1)) n^2 / \log n$$




Clebsch (3, 6; 16)-graph on $GF(2^4)$

$$(x, y) \in E \text{ iff } x - y = \alpha^3$$



[Wikipedia]

Alfred Clebsch (1833-1872)



#vertices / #graphs

no exhaustive searches beyond 13 vertices

3	4
4	11
5	34
6	156
7	1044
8	12346
9	274668
10	12005168
11	1018997864
12	165091172592
13	50502031367952 $\approx 5 * 10^{13}$
<hr/> too many to process <hr/>	
14	29054155657235488 $\approx 3 * 10^{16}$
15	31426485969804308768
16	64001015704527557894928
17	245935864153532932683719776
18	$\approx 2 * 10^{30}$



Test - Hunt - Exhaust

Ramsey numbers

- ▶ **Testing:** do it right.
Graph G is a witness of $R(m, n) > k$ iff
 $|V(G)| = k$, $cl(G) < m$ and $\alpha(G) < n$.
Lab in a 200-level course.
- ▶ **Hunting:** constructions and heuristics.
Given m and n , find a witness G for k larger than others.
Challenge projects in advanced courses.
Master: Geoffrey Exoo 1986–
- ▶ **Exhausting:** generation, pruning, isomorphism.
Prove that for given m, n and k , there does not exist any witness
as above. Hard without `nauty/traces`.
Master: Brendan McKay 1991–



Values and bounds on $R(m, n)$

two colors, avoiding K_m, K_n

k	l	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
3		6	9	14	18	23	28	36	40 42	47 50	53 59	60 68	67 77	74 87
4			18	25	36 41	49 61	59 84	73 115	92 149	102 191	128 238	138 291	147 349	155 417
5				43 48	58 87	80 143	101 216	133 316	149 442	183 633	203 848	233 1138	267 1461	269 1878
6					102 165	115 298	134 495	183 780	204 1171	256 1804	294 2566	347 3703		401 6911
7						205 540	217 1031	252 1713	292 2826	405 4553	417 6954	511 10578		22112
8							282 1870	329 3583	343 6090			817 27485		865 63609
9								565 6588	581 12677					
10									798 23556					1265

[SPR, EJC survey *Small Ramsey Numbers*, revision #15, 2017, with updates]



Small $R(m, n)$ bounds, references

two colors, avoiding K_m, K_n

k	l	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
3		GG	GG	Kéry	Ka2 GrY	GR McZ	Ka2 GR	Ex5 GoR1	Ex20 GoR1	Kol1 Les	Kol1 GoR1	Kol2 GoR1	Kol2 GoR1
4		GG	Ka1 MR4	Ex19 MR5	Ex3 Mac	ExT Mac	Ex16 Mac	HaKr1 Mac	ExT Spe4	SuLL Spe4	ExT Spe4	ExT Spe4	ExT Spe4
5			Ex4 AnM	Ex9 HZ1	CaET HZ1	HaKr1 Spe4	Kuz Mac	ExT Mac	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	ExT HW+
6				Ka2 Mac	ExT HZ1	ExT Mac	Kuz Mac	Kuz Mac	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	HW+	2.3.h HW+
7					She2 Mac	XSR2 HZ1	Kuz HZ2	Kuz Mac	XXER HW+	XSR2 HW+	XuXR HW+	HW+	HW+
8						BurR Mac	Kuz Ea1	Kuz HZ2	HW+	HW+	XXER HW+	HW+	2.3.h HW+
9							She2 ShZ1	XSR2 Ea1	HW+	HW+	HW+		
10								She2 Shi2	HW+	HW+			2.3.h

[EIJC survey *Small Ramsey Numbers*, revision #15, 2017]



53 Years of $R(5, 5)$

year	reference	lower	upper	
1965	Abbott	38		quadratic residues in \mathbb{Z}_{37}
1965	Kalbfleisch		59	pointer to a future paper
1967	Giraud		58	LP
1968	Walker		57	LP
1971	Walker		55	LP
1973	Irving	42		sum-free sets
1989	Exoo	43		simulated annealing
1992	McKay-R		53	(4, 4)-graph enumeration, LP
1994	McKay-R		52	more details, LP
1995	McKay-R		50	implication of $R(4, 5) = 25$
1997	McKay-R		49	long computations
2017	Angeltveit-McKay		48	massive LP for $(\geq 4, \geq 5)$ -graphs

History of bounds on $R(5, 5)$



$$43 \leq R(5, 5) \leq 48$$

Conjecture. McKay-R 1997

$R(5, 5) = 43$, and the number of $(5, 5; 42)$ -graphs is 656.

- ▶ $42 < R(5, 5)$:
 - ▶ Exoo's construction of the first $(5, 5; 42)$ -graph, 1989.
 - ▶ Any new $(5, 5; 42)$ -graph would have to be in distance at least 6 from all 656 known graphs, McKay-Lieby 2014.

- ▶ $R(5, 5) \leq 48$, Angelteveit-McKay 2017:
 - ▶ Enumeration of all 352366 $(4, 5; 24)$ -graphs.
 - ▶ Overlaying pairs of $(4, 5; 24)$ -graphs, and completing to any potential $(5, 5; 48)$ -graph, using intervals of cones.
 - ▶ Similar technique for the new bound $R(4, 6) \leq 40$.



Small $R(m, n)$, references

$R(5, 5) \leq 48$, Angelteit-McKay 2017.

k	l	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
3		GG	GG	Kéry	Ka2 GrY	GR McZ	Ka2 GR	Ex5 GoR1	Ex20 GoR1	Kol1 Les	Kol1 GoR1	Kol2 GoR1	Kol2 GoR1
4		GG	Ka1 MR4	Ex19 MR5	Ex3 Mac	ExT Mac	Ex16 Mac	HaKr1 Mac	ExT Spe1	SuLL Spe1	ExT Spe1	ExT Spe1	ExT Spe1
5			Ex4 AnM	Ex9 HZ1	CaET HZ1	HaKr1 Spe1	Kuz Mac	ExT Mac	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	ExT HW+
6				Ka2 Mac	ExT HZ1	ExT Mac	Kuz Mac	Kuz Mac	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	2.3.h HW+
7					She2 Mac	XSR2 HZ1	Kuz HZ2	Kuz Mac	XXER HW+	XSR2 HW+	XuXR HW+	HW+	HW+
8						BurR Mac	Kuz Eol	Kuz HZ2	HW+	HW+	XXER HW+	HW+	2.3.h HW+
9							She2 ShZ1	XSR2 Eol	HW+	HW+	HW+		
10								She2 Sh2	HW+	HW+			2.3.h

Spring 2017 avalanche of improved upper bounds after LP attack for higher m and n by Angelteit-McKay.



Small $R(K_m, C_n)$

	C_3	C_4	C_5	C_6	C_7	C_8	C_9	...	C_n for $n \geq m$
K_3	6 GG-Bush	7 ChaS	9 ...	11	13	15	17	...	$2n - 1$ ChaS
K_4	9 GG	10 ChH2	13 He4/JR4	16 JR2	19 YHZ1	22 ...	25	...	$3n - 2$ YHZ1
K_5	14 GG	14 Clan	17 He2/JR4	21 JR2	25 YHZ2	29 BolJY+	33	$4n - 3$ BolJY+
K_6	18 Kéry	18 Ex2-RoJa1	21 JR5	26 Schi1	31 ...	36	41	...	$5n - 4$ Schi1
K_7	23 Ka2-GrY	22 RaT-JR1	25 Schi2	31 CheCZN	37 CheCZN	43 JaBa/Ch+	49 Ch+	...	$6n - 5$ Ch+
K_8	28 GR-McZ	26 RaT	29-33 JaAl2	36 ChenCX	43 ChenCZ1	50 JaAl1/ZZ3	57 BatJA	...	$7n - 6$ conj.
K_9	36 Ka2-GR	30 RaT-LaLR					65 conj.	...	$8n - 7$ conj.
K_{10}	40-42 Ex5-GoR1	36 LaLR						...	$9n - 8$ conj.
K_{11}	47-50 Ex20-GoR1	39-44 LaLR						...	$10n - 9$ conj.

Erdős-Faudree-Rousseau-Schelp 1976 conjecture:

$$R(K_m, C_n) = (m - 1)(n - 1) + 1 \text{ for all } n \geq m \geq 3, \text{ except } m = n = 3.$$

Lower bound witness: complement of $(m - 1)K_{n-1}$.

$$\text{First two columns: } R(3, m) = \Theta(m^2 / \log m),$$

$$c_1(m^{3/2} / \log m) \leq R(K_m, C_4) \leq c_2(m / \log m)^2.$$



Known bounds on $R(3, K_s)$ and $R(3, K_s - e)$

$$J_s = K_s - e, \Delta_s = R(3, K_s) - R(3, K_{s-1})$$

s	$R(3, J_s)$	$R(3, K_s)$	Δ_s	s	$R(3, J_s)$	$R(3, K_s)$	Δ_s
3	5	6	3	10	37	40–42	4–6
4	7	9	3	11	42–45	47–50	5–10
5	11	14	5	12	47–53	53–59	3–12
6	17	18	4	13	55–62	60–68	3–13
7	21	23	5	14	60–71	67–77	3–14
8	25	28	5	15	69–80	74–87	3–15
9	31	36	8	16	74–91	82–97	3–16

$R(3, J_s)$ and $R(3, K_s)$, for $s \leq 16$

(Goedgebeur-R 2014, SRN 2017)



Conjecture

and 1/2 of Erdős-Sós problem

Observe that

$$R(3, s + k) - R(3, s - 1) = \sum_{i=0}^k \Delta_{s+i}.$$

We know that

$$\Delta_s \geq 3, \Delta_s + \Delta_{s+1} \geq 7, \Delta_s + \Delta_{s+1} + \Delta_{s+2} \geq 11.$$

Conjecture

There exists $d \geq 2$ such that $\Delta_s - \Delta_{s+1} \leq d$ for all $s \geq 2$.

Theorem

If Conjecture is true, then $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_s/s = 0$.



$$R(4, 4; 3) = 13$$

2-colorings of 3-uniform hypergraphs avoiding monochromatic tetrahedrons

- ▶ The only non-trivial classical Ramsey number known for hypergraphs, McKay-R 1991.
- ▶ Enumeration of all valid 434714 two-colorings of triangles on 12 points. $K_{13}^{(3)} - t$ cannot be thus colored, McKay 2017.
- ▶ For size Ramsey numbers, the above gives

$$\widehat{R}(4, 4; 3) \leq 285 = \binom{13}{3} - 1,$$

which answers in negative a general question posed by Dudek, La Fleur, Mubayi and Rödl, 2015.



Diagonal Multicolorings for Cycles

Bounds on $R_k(C_m)$ in 2017 SRN

k	m	3	4	5	6	7	8
3		17	11	17	12	25	16
4		51 62	18	33 137	18 20	49	20
5		162 307	27 29	65	26	97	28
6		538 1838	34 43	129		193	

Table XIII. Known values and bounds for $R_k(C_m)$ for small k, m ;

Columns:

- ▶ 3 - just triangles, like nothing else, big gap between bounds
- ▶ 4 - well understood thanks to geometry, $k^2 + O(k)$
- ▶ 5 - bounds have a big gap, is blow-up the best?




$$R_r(3) = R(3, 3, \dots, 3)$$

- ▶ Much work on Schur numbers $s(r)$
via sum-free partitions and cyclic colorings
 $s(r) > 89^{r/4 - c \log r} > 3.07^r$ [except small r]
Abbott+ 1965+
- ▶ $s(r) + 2 \leq R_r(3)$
- ▶ $R_r(3) \geq 3R_{r-1}(3) + R_{r-3}(3) - 3$
Chung 1973
- ▶ The limit $L = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} R_r(3)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ exists
Chung-Grinstead 1983
 $(2s(r) + 1)^{\frac{1}{r}} = c_r \approx_{(r=6)} 3.199 < L$



$$R(3, 3, 3) = 17$$

two Kalbfleisch (3, 3, 3; 16)-colorings, each color is a Clebsch graph



[Wikipedia]



Four colors - $R_4(3)$

$$51 \leq R(3, 3, 3, 3) \leq 62$$

year	reference	lower	upper
1955	Greenwood, Gleason	42	66
1967	false rumors	[66]	
1971	Golomb, Baumert	46	
1973	Whitehead	50	65
1973	Chung, Porter	51	
1974	Folkman		65
1995	Sánchez-Flores		64
1995	Kramer (no computer)		62
2004	Fettes-Kramer-R (computer)		62

History of bounds on $R_4(3)$ [from FKR 2004]



Four colors - $R_4(3)$

color degree sequences for $(3, 3, 3, 3; \geq 60)$ -colorings

n	orders of $N_\eta(v)$	
65	[16, 16, 16, 16]	Whitehead, Folkman 1973-4
64	[16, 16, 16, 15]	Sánchez-Flores 1995
63	[16, 16, 16, 14]	
	[16, 16, 15, 15]	
62	[16, 16, 16, 13]	Kramer 1995+
	[16, 16, 15, 14]	–
	[16, 15, 15, 15]	Fettes-Kramer-R 2004
61	[16, 16, 16, 12]	
	[16, 16, 15, 13]	
	[16, 16, 14, 14]	
	[16, 15, 15, 14]	
	[15, 15, 15, 15]	
60	[16, 16, 16, 11]	guess: doable in 2018
	[16, 16, 15, 12]	
	[16, 16, 14, 13]	
	[16, 15, 15, 13]	
	[16, 15, 14, 14]	
	[15, 15, 15, 14]	

- ▶ Why don't heuristics come close to $51 \leq R_4(3)$?
- ▶ Improve on $R_4(3) \leq 62$



Folkman Graphs and Numbers

For graphs F, G, H and positive integers s, t

- ▶ $F \rightarrow (s, t)^e$ iff in every 2-coloring of the edges of F there is a monochromatic K_s in color 1 or K_t in color 2
- ▶ $F \rightarrow (G, H)^e$ iff in every 2-coloring of the edges of F there is a copy of G in color 1 or a copy of H in color 2
- ▶ variants: coloring vertices, more colors

Edge Folkman graphs

$$\mathcal{F}_e(s, t; k) = \{F \mid F \rightarrow (s, t)^e, K_k \not\subseteq F\}$$

Edge Folkman numbers

$F_e(s, t; k)$ = the smallest order of graphs in $\mathcal{F}_e(s, t; k)$

Theorem (Folkman 1970)

If $k > \max(s, t)$, then $F_e(s, t; k)$ and $F_v(s, t; k)$ exist.



Test - Hunt - Exhaust

Folkman numbers

Hints.

- ▶ Inverted role of lower/upper bounds wrt Ramsey
- ▶ F_e tends to be much harder than F_v

Folkman is harder than Ramsey.

- ▶ Testing: $F \rightarrow (G, H)$ is Π_2^P -complete, only some special cases run reasonably well.
- ▶ Hunting: Use smart constructions. Very limited heuristics.
- ▶ Exhausting: Do proofs. Currently, computationally almost hopeless.



Bounds from Chromatic Numbers

Set $m = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r (a_i - 1)$, $M = R(a_1, \dots, a_r)$.

Theorem (Nenov 2001, Lin 1972, others)

If $G \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_r)^v$, then $\chi(G) \geq m$.

If $G \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_r)^e$, then $\chi(G) \geq M$.



Special Case of Folkman Numbers

is just about graph chromatic number $\chi(G)$

Note: $G \rightarrow (2 \cdots_r 2)^v \iff \chi(G) \geq r + 1$

For all $r \geq 1$, $F_v(2^r; 3)$ exists and it is equal to the smallest order of $(r + 1)$ -chromatic triangle-free graph.

$F_v(2^{r+1}; 3) \leq 2F_v(2^r; 3) + 1$, Mycielski construction, 1955

small cases

$F_v(2^2; 3) = 5$, C_5 , Mycielskian, 1955

$F_v(2^3; 3) = 11$, the Grötzsch graph, Mycielskian, 1955

$F_v(2^4; 3) = 22$, Jensen and Royle, 1995

$32 \leq F_v(2^5; 3) \leq 40$, Goedgebeur, 2017



50 Years of $F_e(3, 3; 4)$

What is the smallest order n of a K_4 -free graph which is not a union of two triangle-free graphs?

year	lower/upper bounds	who/what
1967	any?	Erdős-Hajnal
1970	exist	Folkman
1972	10 –	Lin
1975	– 10^{10} ?	Erdős offers \$100 for proof
1986	– 8×10^{11}	Frankl-Rödl, almost won
1988	– 3×10^9	Spencer, won \$100
1999	16 –	Piwakowski-R-Urbański, implicit
2007	19 –	R-Xu
2008	– 9697	Lu, eigenvalues
2008	– 941	Dudek-Rödl, maxcut-SDP
2012	– 100?	Graham offers \$100 for proof
2014	– 786	Lange-R-Xu, maxcut-SDP
2016	20 –	Bikov-Nenov
2017	– 785	Kaufmann-Wickus-R



Most Wanted Folkman Number: $F_e(3, 3; 4)$

and how to earn \$100 from RL Graham

$$20 \leq F_e(3, 3; 4) \leq 785.$$

- ▶ Upper bound 785 from a modified residue graph via SDP.
- ▶ Lower bound crawled up slowly from 10 to 20
- ▶ Hill-Irving (1982) studied a Ramsey graph G_{127} on \mathbb{Z}_{127} , edges $\{(x, y) \mid x - y = \alpha^3\}$, K_4 -free

Conjecture (Exoo, around 2004):

- ▶ $G_{127} \rightarrow (3, 3)^e$, moreover removing 33 vertices from G_{127} gives graph G_{94} , which still looks good for arrowing, if so, worth \$100.
- ▶ this arrowing resists direct backtracking, eigenvalues method, SDP methods, and state-of-the-art 3-SAT solvers.
- ▶ G_{127} has amazingly rich structure, hence perhaps will not resist a proof by hand ...



Other Computational Approaches

each with some success

- ▶ Huele, 2005–17: SAT-solvers, VdW numbers, Pythagorean triples, *Science of Brute Force*, CACM August 2017.
- ▶ Codish, Frank, Itzhakov, Miller (2016): finishing $R(3, 3, 4) = 30$, symmetry breaking, BEE (Ben-Gurion Equi-propagation Encoder) to CNF, CSP.
- ▶ Lidický-Pfender (2017), using Razborov's flag algebras (2007) for 2- and 3-color upper bounds.
- ▶ Surprising new lower bounds by heuristics: Kolodyazny, Kuznetsov, Exoo, Tatarevic (2014–2017).
- ▶ Ramsey quantum computations, D-Wave? (2020–).



Thanks for listening!

