



Parameter Estimation: Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Bayesian Learning

Prof. Richard Zanibbi

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Assume

Likelihood density for each class has known form, given by a parameter vector theta, e.g.

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_j) \sim N(\mu_j, \Sigma_j) \qquad \theta \text{ contains } \mu_j, \Sigma_j$$

 $p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_j, \theta_j)$

Task

 $R \cdot I \cdot T$

Estimate theta from training samples





Likelihood of theta w.r.t. a sample set

Assuming samples are independent and identically distributed:

$$p(D|\theta) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} p(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}|\theta)$$

Maximum-Likelihood Estimate of theta

The vector which maximizes p(D|theta); "best agrees" with the observed samples



Example: Maximum Likelihood Estimate of the Mean



 $R \cdot I \cdot T$





MLE Estimate of the Mean

Assuming multivariate normal, MLE for the mean must satisfy: $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\mathbf{x}_{k} - \hat{\mu}) = 0$

Multiply and rearrange to obtain (drum roll please): n

k=1

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_k$$



MLE Estimate for Mean and Covariance

 $\theta_1 = \mu, \theta_2 = \sigma^2$ (univariate) $\theta_2 = \Sigma$ (multivariate)

Conditions:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_2} (x_k - \hat{\theta}_1) = 0$$

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(x_k - \theta_1)^2}{\hat{\theta}_2^2} = 0$$

Substitute estimates for thetas, rearrange:

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{k}$$

 $\hat{\sigma}^{2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_{k} - \hat{\mu})^{2}$ $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_{k} - \hat{\mu}) (x_{k} - \hat{\mu})^{t}$









Unbiased Estimators

Absolutely Unbiased

Estimator is unbiased for all distributions

Asymptotically Unbiased

Estimator tends towards becoming unbiased as n (# sample) becomes large

• Often acceptable for PR problems with large training data available



Effect of Invalid Model (assumed distribution)

Will the theta obtained by MLE produce the best classifier over the assumed space of models?

No.

 If model selection is poor, cannot be certain that inferred classifier is the best possible in our model set (space)





Example: Bayesian Learning of the Mean



FIGURE 3.2. Bayesian learning of the mean of normal distributions in one and two dimensions. The posterior distribution estimates are labeled by the number of training samples used in the estimation. From: Richard O. Duda, Peter E. Hart, and David G. Stork, *Pattern Classification*. Copyright © 2001 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.



Adding Features to Better Separate Classes



FIGURE 3.3. Two three-dimensional distributions have nonoverlapping densities, and thus in three dimensions the Bayes error vanishes. When projected to a subspace—here, the two-dimensional $x_1 - x_2$ subspace or a one-dimensional x_1 subspace—there can be greater overlap of the projected distributions, and hence greater Bayes error. From: Richard O. Duda, Peter E. Hart, and David G. Stork, *Pattern Classification*. Copyright © 2001 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.





Overfitting: An Example



FIGURE 3.4. The "training data" (black dots) were selected from a quadratic function plus Gaussian noise, i.e., $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c + \epsilon$ where $p(\epsilon) \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$. The 10th-degree polynomial shown fits the data perfectly, but we desire instead the second-order function f(x), because it would lead to better predictions for new samples. From: Richard O. Duda, Peter E. Hart, and David G. Stork, *Pattern Classification*. Copyright © 2001 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

 $R \cdot I \cdot T$