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## Finite Automata

- Consists of
- A set of states (Q)
- A start state ( $q_{o}$ )
- A set of accepting states ( $F$ )
- Read symbols ( $\Sigma$ )
- Transition function ( $\delta$ )
- Let's recap


## Languages

- Recall.
- What is a language?
- What is a class of languages?


## First there was the DFA

- Deterministic Finite Automata
- For every state and every alphabet symbol there is exactly one move that the machine can make.
$-\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$
$-\delta$ is a total function: completely defined. I.e. it is defined for all $\mathrm{q} \in \mathrm{Q}$ and $\mathrm{a} \in \Sigma$


## Then, the NFA

## - Non-determinism

- When machine is in a given state and reads a symbol, the machine will have a choice of where to move to next.
- There may be states where, after reading a given symbol, the machine has nowhere to go.
- Applying the transition function will give, not 1 state, but 0 or more states.

Non-Deterministic Finite Automata
(NFA)

- Transition function
$-\delta$ is a function from $Q \times \Sigma$ to $2^{Q}$
$-\delta(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{a})=$ subset of Q (possibly empty)
- And now...
- Introducing...
- The newest in the FA family...
- The Non deterministic finite automata with $\varepsilon$ transitions ( $\varepsilon$-NFA)


## Nondeterministic Finite Automata with $\mathcal{E}$

$$
\text { transitions ( } \varepsilon \text {-NFA) }
$$

- For both DFAs and NFAs, you must read a symbol in order for the machine to make a move.
- In Nondeterministic Finite Automata with $\varepsilon$ transitions ( $\varepsilon$-NFA)
- Can make move without reading a symbol off the read tape
- Such a move is called a $\varepsilon$ transition


## Nondeterministic Finite Automata with $\mathcal{E}$

transitions ( $\varepsilon$-NFA)

- Example:
- Machine to accept decimal numbers



## Nondeterministic Finite Automata with $\mathcal{E}$

$$
\text { transitions ( } \varepsilon \text {-NFA) }
$$

- How does such a machine accept?
- A string will be accepted if there is at least one sequence of state transitions on an input (including $\varepsilon$ transitions) that leaves the machine in an accepting state.


## Nondeterministic Finite Automata with $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$

 transitions ( $\varepsilon$-NFA)- Example:
- -3.45 is accepted
- . 5678



## Nondeterministic Finite Automata with $\varepsilon$

transitions ( $\varepsilon$-NFA)

- A Non-Deterministic Finite Automata with $\varepsilon$ transitions is a 5-tuple ( $\left.Q, \Sigma, q_{o}, \delta, F\right)$ where
- $Q$ is a finite set (of states)
$-\Sigma$ is a finite alphabet of symbols
$-q_{o} \in Q$ is the start state
- $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of accepting states
- $\delta$ is a function from $Q \times(\Sigma \cup\{\varepsilon\})$ to $2^{Q}$ (transition function)


## Nondeterministic Finite Automata with $\mathcal{E}$

 transitions ( $\mathcal{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{NFA})$- Transition function
$-\delta$ is a function from $Q \times(\Sigma \cup\{\varepsilon\})$ to $2^{Q}$
$-\delta(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{a})=$ subset of Q (possibly empty)
- In our example
- $\delta\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}, 0\right)=\left\{\mathrm{q}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{4}\right\}$
- $\delta\left(q_{1},.\right)=\left\{q_{1}\right\}$
- $\delta\left(\mathrm{q}_{1},+\right)=\varnothing$
- $\delta\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=\left\{\mathrm{q}_{1}\right\}$


## Nondeterministic Finite Automata with $\mathcal{E}$

 transitions ( $\varepsilon$-NFA)- Transition function on a string
$-\hat{\delta}$ is a function from $\mathrm{Q} \times \Sigma^{*}$ to $2^{Q}$
$-\hat{\delta}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{x})=$ subset of Q (possibly empty)
- Set of all states that the machine can be in, upon following all possible paths on input x .
- We'll need to consider all paths that include the use of $\varepsilon$ transitions


## $\varepsilon$-Closure

- $\varepsilon$ closure
- Before defining the transition function on a string ( $\hat{\delta}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{x})$ ), it is useful to first define what is known as the $\varepsilon$ closure.
- Given a set of states S, the $\varepsilon$ closure will give the set of states reachable from each state in $S$ using only $\varepsilon$ transitions.


## $\varepsilon$-Closure

- $\varepsilon$ closure: Recursive definition
- Let $\mathrm{M}=\left(Q, \Sigma, q_{o}, \delta, F\right)$ be a $\varepsilon$-NFA
- Let $S$ be a subset of Q
- The $\varepsilon$ closure, denotes eclose(S) is defined:
- For each state $p \in S, p \in \operatorname{ECLOSE}(S)$
- For any $q \in \operatorname{ECLOSE}(S)$, every element of $\delta(q, \varepsilon) \in$ EClose(S)
- No other elements of Q are in $\operatorname{ECLOSE}(\mathrm{S})$


## $\varepsilon$-Closure

- $\varepsilon$-Closure : Algorithm
- Since we know that ECLOSE(S) is finite, we can convert the recursive definition to an algorithm.
- To find eclose(S) where $S$ is a subset of $Q$
- Let T = S
- While (T does not change) do
- Add all elements of $\delta(\mathrm{q}, \varepsilon)$ where $\mathrm{q} \in \mathrm{T}$
$-\operatorname{ECLOSE}(S)=T$


## $\varepsilon$-Closure

- Example



## $\varepsilon$-Closure

- $\varepsilon$ closure: Example
- Find ECLOSE(\{s\}) in our example
- $\mathrm{T}=\{\mathrm{s}\} \quad$ initial step
$-\mathrm{T}=\{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}\} \quad$ add $\delta(\mathrm{s}, \varepsilon)$
$-\mathrm{T}=\left\{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{q}_{0}\right\} \quad$ add $\delta(\mathrm{w}, \varepsilon)$
$-\mathrm{T}=\left\{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{q}_{0}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}\right\} \quad$ add $\delta\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$
- $\quad \delta(\mathrm{w}, \varepsilon)=\delta(\mathrm{w}, \varepsilon)=\varnothing$
- We are done,
- $\operatorname{ECLOSE}(\{s\})=T=\left\{s, w, q_{0}, p, t\right\}$


## Nondeterministic Finite Automata with $\mathcal{E}$

$$
\text { transitions ( } \varepsilon \text {-NFA) }
$$

- Now lets define $\hat{\delta}$

1. For any $\mathrm{q} \in \mathrm{Q}, \hat{\delta}(\mathrm{q}, \varepsilon)=\operatorname{ECLOSE}(\{\mathrm{q}\})$
2. For any $\mathrm{y} \in \Sigma^{*}, \mathrm{a} \in \Sigma, \mathrm{q} \in \mathrm{Q}$

$$
\hat{\delta}(q, y a)=E C L O S E\left(\bigcup_{p \in \hat{\delta}(q, y)} \delta(p, a)\right)
$$

Set of all states obtained by applying $\delta$ to all states in $\delta^{*}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{y})$ and input a and taking the $\varepsilon$ closure of the result

## Nondeterministic Finite Automata with $\varepsilon$

 transitions ( $\varepsilon$-NFA)- Accepting a string
- A string x is accepted if running the machine on input $x$, considering all paths, including the use of $\varepsilon$ transitions, puts the machine into one of the accepting states
- Formally:
- $x \in \Sigma^{*}$ is accepted by $M$ if
- $\hat{\delta}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}, \mathrm{x}\right) \cap \mathrm{F} \neq \varnothing$


## Nondeterministic Finite Automata with $\varepsilon$

 transitions ( $\varepsilon$-NFA)- Are the following strings accepted by the $\varepsilon$ nfa below:
- aba
- ababa
- aaabbb



## Nondeterministic Finite Automata with $\mathcal{E}$ transitions ( $\mathcal{E}$-NFA)

- I bet that you're asking...
- Can JFLAP handle $\varepsilon$-NFAs?
- Well, let's check and see!


## Nondeterministic Finite Automata with $\mathcal{E}$

 transitions ( $\varepsilon$-NFA)- Language accepted by M
- The language accepted by M
- $L(M)=\left\{x \in \Sigma^{*} \mid x\right.$ is accepted by $\left.M\right\}$
- If L is a language over $\Sigma$, L is accepted by $M$ iff $L=L(M)$.
- For all $x \in L$, $x$ is accepted by $M$.
- For all $x \notin L$, $x$ is rejected by $M$.


## Nondeterministic Finite Automata with $\varepsilon$

 transitions ( $\mathcal{E}$-NFA)- Why they're a good idea
- Given a regular expression, it is far easier to create an $\varepsilon$-NFA for the language described by the expression than it is to create a plain old DFA.
- It will also be essential when showing the Fas accept the class of Regular Languages.
- Questions?


## DFA / NFA / $\varepsilon$-NFA Equivalence

- Surprisingly enough
$-\mathcal{E}$ transitions to our NDFA does NOT give it any additional language accepting power.
- DFAs and NFAs and $\varepsilon$-NFA are all equivalent
- Every language that can be accepted by a $\varepsilon$-NFA can also be accepted by an DFA which can also be accepted by a NFA.
- Let's show this


## $\varepsilon$-NFA -> DFA

- Given $\varepsilon$-NFA find DFA
- Let $\mathrm{E}=\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{E}}, \Sigma, \delta_{\mathrm{E}}, \mathrm{q}_{0}, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ be a $\varepsilon$ - NFA then
- There exists a DFA, $D=\left(Q_{D}, \Sigma, \delta_{D}, q_{D}, F_{D}\right)$
- Such that $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{E})=\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{D})$


## $\varepsilon$-NFA -> DFA

- Basic idea
- Very similar to the subset construction algorithm
- Recall that for a $\varepsilon$-NFA, $\delta: \mathrm{Q} \times \Sigma \rightarrow 2^{\mathrm{Q}}$
- Use the states of $D$ to represent subsets of Q .


## $\varepsilon$-NFA -> DFA

## - Formal definition

$-\mathrm{E}=\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{E}}, \Sigma, \delta_{\mathrm{E}}, \mathrm{q}_{0}, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ be a $\varepsilon$-NFA

- We define DFA, $\mathrm{D}=\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{D}}, \Sigma, \delta_{\mathrm{D}}, \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{D}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$
- $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{D}}=2^{\mathrm{QE}}$
- $q_{D}=\operatorname{ECLOSE}\left(q_{0}\right)$
- $F_{D}=$ sets containing at least one state from $F_{E}$


## $\varepsilon$-NFA -> DFA

- Computing $\delta_{\mathrm{D}}$
$-\delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{a})$ for $\mathrm{S} \in \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{D}}, \mathrm{a} \in \Sigma$
- Let $S=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$
- Compute the set of all states reachable from states in S on input a using transitions from E .
$\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{m}\right\}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{E}\left(p_{i}, a\right)$
- $\delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{a})$ will be the union of the $\varepsilon$ closures of the elements of $\left\{\mathrm{r}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}}\right\}$
$\delta_{D}(S, a)=\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} E \operatorname{CLOSE}\left(r_{j}\right)$



## $\varepsilon$-NFA -> DFA

- Now we must show that D accepts the same language as E
- Can be shown (using induction) that for all $\mathrm{x} \in$ $\Sigma^{*}$

$$
\text { - } \hat{\delta}_{D}(q, x)=\hat{\delta}_{E}(q, x)
$$

- See Theorem 2.22


## $\varepsilon$-NFA -> DFA

- Show that D and E recognize the same language
$-x$ is accepted by $E$ iff $\hat{\delta}_{E}\left(q_{0}, x\right) \cap F_{E} \neq \varnothing$
$-x$ is accepted by $D$ iff $\hat{\delta}_{D}\left(q_{D}, x\right) \cap F_{E} \neq \varnothing$
- Thus,
- x is accepted by D iff x is accepted by E
- Questions?


## DFA -> $\varepsilon$-NFA

- The other direction is fairly straighforward.
- For any DFA, there is an equivalent NFA
- An NFA is nothing more than a $\varepsilon$-NFA with no $\varepsilon$ transitions. Thus
- $\delta(\mathrm{q}, \varepsilon)$ for all states $\mathrm{q}=\varnothing$


## What have we shown

- For every DFA, there is an NFA that accepts the same language and visa versa
- For every DFA, there is a $\varepsilon$-NFA that accepts the same language, and visa versa
- Thus, for every NFA there is a $\varepsilon$-NFA that accepts the same language, and visa versa
- DFAs, NFAs, and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$-NFA s are equivalent!


## Questions?

- Let’s take a break.

