$\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{T}$

KEYWORD SPOTTING IN AUDIO FOR ACCESSMATH { ZACHARY MILLER, ROGER GABORSKI, RICHARD ZANIBBI } COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT, ROCHESTER INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

INTRODUCTION

- Implement a Keyword Spotting System.
- Purpose: To allow a Math Professor to easily search through his or her lecture recordings.
- Goal: To implement a number of state-of-the-art Dynamic Time Warping based techniques



Figure 1: Visualization of Keyword Spotting Problem: Green Boxes are returned hits from the spotter, Red Boxes are where the keyword occurs.

MATERIALS & METHODS

- Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficients [1]
- Gaussian Mixture Models
- Dynamic Time Warping

– Non-Linearly matches 2 sequences

- Segmental Dynamic Time Warping [2]
 - Allows small queries to be matched to portions of the longer sequence
- Lower Bound Estimate IP [3]
 - Speeds up Segmental DTW through an estimation technique

EXPERIMENTS

Experiments were conducted using the following framework:

- 2 Lectures for training
- 6 Lectures for testing
- 4 Keywords
 - Solution
 - System

 - Variable

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Davis and P. Mermelstein. Comparison of parametric representations for monosyllabic word recognition in continuously spoken sentences. Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, IEEE Transactions on, 28(4):357–366, Aug 1980.
- Yaodong Zhang and J.R. Glass. Unsupervised spoken keyword spotting via segmental DTW on gaussian posteriorgrams. In Automatic Speech Recognition Understanding, IEEE Workshop on, pages 398–403, Nov 2009.
- Yaodong Zhang and J.R. Glass. An inner-product lower-bound estimate for dynamic time warping. In [3] Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2011 IEEE International Conference on, pages 5660–5663, May 2011.

```
- System of Equations
```

• Used Precision@*N* to evaluate results

RESULTS



Figure 2: Precision at N of Segmental DTW searching for 4 keywords using Posteriorgram Features

CONCLUSION

- Both techniques perform well
- Segmental DTW generally has better performance
- Lower Bound IP generally is faster
- Longer queries tend to perform better than shorter ones as both *System Of Equa*tion and Variable returned better overall results.

FUTURE RESEARCH

- Noise Reduction on input audio.
- Try More Keywords.
- Try More Lectures.



Figure 3: Precision at N of Lower Bound IP searching for 4 keywords using Posteriorgram Features

• Common false hits include

- Words having similar structure
- Words containing similar sounding syllables
- Empty noise due to no preprocessing of the audio
- Performance is heavily based on the Gaussian Mixture Model Trained

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation (USA) under Grant No. HCC-1218801.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Web cs.rit.edu/~dprl Email zrm6085@rit.edu