East Dakota has allowed its citizens to vote over the Internet in the Presidential election, if they so desire. Thirty percent of the eligible voters in East Dakota chose to cast their ballots over the Internet. The national election is so closely contested that whoever wins the electoral votes of East Dakota will be the next President. After the election, state election officials report the vote tally and declare Candidate X to be the winner.

Two weeks before the inauguration of President X, state officials uncovered evidence of the possibility of massive electoral fraud. Some voters might have been tricked into connecting to a phony voting site. Supporters of Candidate Y believe that there is an organization running the phony site and that the site used the credentials provided by the duped voters to connect to the actual voting site and cast a vote for Candidate X.

State officials conclude the electoral fraud might have changed the outcome of the election, but they cannot say for sure. They have no evidence that Candidate X knew anything about this scheme to increase his vote tally.

Pro Group: You are a member of the staff of President X, or one of his supporters, who believes the election results should stand as is, despite the possibility of fraud.

Con Group: You are a member of the staff of Candidate Y, or one of her supporters, who would like the election declared invalid, and possibly a re-vote taken.

Jury Group: You are a member of a group that must decide the proper response to this revelation. Your group consists of citizens from East Dakota, and also citizens from other states. Should the election be declared invalid, or should President X remain in office?