Pushdown Automata

Determinism

Deterministic PDAs

- As mentioned before
 - Our basic PDA in non-deterministic
 - We can define a Deterministic PDA (DPDA) as follows:
 - Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, Z_0, F)$ be a PDA
 - M is deterministic if:
 - $-\delta$ (q, a, X) has <u>at most</u> one element
 - If δ (q, ε, X) ≠ Ø then δ (q, a, X) = Ø for all a ∈ Σ

Deterministic PDAs

- In other words:
 - There is no configuration where the machine has a "choice" of moves
 - · Each transition has at most 1 element.
 - If you can make a ϵ -transition from a state with a given symbol on the stack,
 - You cannot make that same transition on any tape input symbol.

Deterministic PDAs

• A language L is a deterministic context-free language (DCFL) if there is a DPA that accepts L

PDA Example

• Example:

 $-L = \{ x \in \{ a, b \}^* | n_a(x) > n_b(x) \}$

- First using a PDA:
 - · Let the stack store the "excess" of one symbol over another - If more a's have been read than b's, a's will be on the stack, and
 - via versa
 - If a is on the stack and you read a b, simple match the a with the h

 - If a is on the stack and you read an a, we have one more extra a Push it on the stack.
 - An empty stack means the number of a's and b's are equal.

PDA Example

- Example:
 - $-L = \{ x \in \{ a, b \}^* | n_a(x) > n_b(x) \}$
 - The PDA will have 2 states:
 - State 0 (start) : where all the work gets done
 - State 1 (accepting) : one you're in here, the machine stops.
 - The machine can "choose" to go into state 1 on a ε transition whenever an a is on the stack.















It can be shown...

- That the language pal: $-pal = \{ x \in \{ a, b \}^* | x = x^r \}$
- Cannot be accepted by any DPDA.

It can also be shown

- That all regular languages can be accepted by a DPDA.
 - Since an DFA is essentially a DPDA that doesn't make use of the stack.



Why DPDAs are important

- A compiler may wish to implement a PDA in software to parse a program given by a given grammar
- DPDAs and ambiguity
 - If L can be accepted by a DPDA, then L can be expressed by an unambiguous CFG
 - Not visa versa
 - Theorems 6.20 / 6.21 in text

Determinism vs. Non-Determinism

- Comparing FAs and PDAs
 - DPDAs allow for ϵ -transitions
 - DPDAs allow for no moves
 - FAs and NFAs are equivalent
 - PDAs and DPDAs are not equivalent
 - Questions